



KAAP AGULHAS MUNISIPALITEIT
CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPALITY
U MASIPALA WASECAPE AGULHAS

**NOTULE VAN 'N ALGEMENE RAADSVERGADERING GEHOU OM 10:00 OP DINSDAG
25 OKTOBER 2016 IN DIE MUNISIPALE RAADSAAL TE BREDASDORP**

**MINUTES OF A GENERAL COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, 25 OCTOBER 2016
AT 10:00 IN THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL CHAMBERS, BREDASDORP**

RAADSLEDE / COUNCILLORS

MNR	R J BAKER	
MNR	G D BURGER	
MNR	D J EUROPA	
MNR	C J JACOBS	
MNR	D JANTJIES	
ME	E C MARTHINUS	
MNR	J G A NIEUWOUDT	(Speaker)
ME	M OCTOBER	
ME	E L SAULS	
MNR	P J SWART	(Burgemeester)
ME	Z TONISI	(Onder-Burgemeester)

AMPTENARE / OFFICIALS

Mnr D O'Neill	Munisipale Bestuurder
Mnr H Van Biljon	Direkteur: Finansiële Dienste
Mnr K Mrali	Direkteur: Gemeenskapsdienste
Me N Mhlali-Musewe	Wvd Direkteur: Korporatiewe Dienste (verlaat die Raadsaal om 10:30)
Mnr W Linnert	Wvd Direkteur: Siviele Ingenieursdienste
Mnr S Cooper	Bestuurder: Elektries
Mnr B Hayward	Bestuurder: Stads- en Streeksbeplanning
Mnr F du Toit	Bestuurder: Boubeheer
Mnr G M Moelich	Bestuurder: Admin Ondersteuning
Me T Stone	Bestuurder: Strategiese Dienste
Mnr B Swart	Interne Ouditeur

1. **OPENING**

Die Speaker heet die teenwoordiges welkom en Raadsheer Jantjies open die vergadering met gebed.

2. **AANSOEKE OM VERLOF TOT AFWESIGHEID / APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE**

Mnr S Ngwevu Direkteur: Korporatiewe Dienste

3. **ONDERHOUDE MET AFGEVAARDIGDES EN/OF ANDER BESOEKE**

3.1 **Overberg District Academy System Facility**

Mnr Bailey lig die Raad in oor die vestiging van 'n "District Academy System Facility" in Bredasdorp. Onderhandelings is reeds sedert 2015 aan die gang vir die vestiging van sogenaamde fasiliteit.

Hy verwys na die nasionale visie, visie 2013, die departementele doelwitte asook alle nodige rolspelers wat betrokke sal wees by die vestiging van hierdie akademie tesame met Provinsidale Departement van Kultuur. Hy versoek die Raad om betrokke te raak by die aangeleentheid asook om die nodige bronne beskikbaar te stel.

3.2 **Price Waterhouse Coopers: HR Strategy**

Voorlegging word aangeheg op *bladsy 1 tot 28*.

Me Michelle Doul gee terugvoer aan die Raad oor die Menslike Hulpbronne Strategie vir Kaap Agulhas. Sy verwys onder andere na 'n werkswinkel wat aangebied sal word, die effektiwiteitsopname wat intern gedoen is (34% personeel deelname) en die uitkomste wat gebaseer is op 'n kort-, medium- en langtermyn.

BESLUIT 206/2016

Dat die Menslike Hulpbronne Strategie wat opgestel is deur Price Waterhouse Coopers aanvaar en geïmplementeer word.

3.3 **INCA: Long Term Financial Plan (Update 2016)**

Mnr Attie van Zyl gee 'n opdatering van die 2015 langtermyn finansiële plan, soos gebaseer op die finansiële state van 30 Junie 2016.

Die aangeleentheid word verder hanteer tydens item 9.3.5

4. **NOTULES VAN VORIGE VERGADERINGS VOORGELê VIR BEKRAGTING**

4.1 **NOTULE VAN ALGEMENE RAADSVERGADERING GEHOU OP:**

29 September 2016

BESLUIT 207/2016

Die Notule word as korrek en volledig bekragtig nadat die volgende wysiging aangebring is:

Besluit 197/2016:

Kennis word geneem dat Raadsheer Jantjies sy teenstem aangeteken het teenoor die voorgestelde verkoopprijs van die grond waarop die genoemde ontwikkeling beplan word en nie teen die ontwikkeling opsigself nie.

5. **NOTULES VAN DIE UITVOERENDE BURGEMEESTERSKOMITEE VERGADERINGS OOR BESLUIE DEUR HOM GENEEM SAAM MET DIE BURGEMEESTERSKOMITEE**

5.1 **NOTULES VAN UBK VERGADERINGS:**

26 September 2016

BESLUIT 208/2016

Die Raad neem kennis van bogenoemde UBK Notule.

6. **SAKE VOORTSPRUITEND UIT NOTULES**

Notule: 29 September 2016

“9.3.3 **COUNCIL’S FURTHER DIRECTIONS: REZONING, SUBDIVISION CONSOLIDATION AND LETTING OF PROPERTY IN RESPECT OF THE VISHUIS HERITAGE CENTRE IN KASSIESBAAI, ARNISTON**”

Raadsdame Marthinus lig die Raad in dat die Vissersunie ‘n nuwe komitee aangewys het en dat haar man nie meer voorsitter van die Unie is nie. Volgens haar sal sy dan in die toekoms nie die Raadsaal verlaat wanneer verwante sake bespreek word nie. Sy verneem waarom die item nie in hierdie agenda opgeneem is nie.

Die Munisipale Bestuurder meld dat die Vissersunie steeds in onderhandelings met die Raad se prokureurs is en sodra ‘n verslag vanaf die prokureurs ontvang word, sal ‘n volledige item weer aan die Raad voorgelê word.

7. **VERKLARINGS EN/OF MEDEDELINGS DEUR DIE VOORSITTER**

7.1 **BRIEWE VAN DANK / VIR KENNISNAME**

Geen.

7.2 **FUNKSIES VIR DIE MAAND**

- (i) Die Speaker herinner Raadslede aan die opleiding oor “Human Settlements” wat op 24 November 2016 te Caledon sal plaasvind.

BESLUIT 209/2016

Dat Raadslede Tonisi en Sauls die opleiding sal bywoon.

- (ii) Op Maandag 31 Oktober 2016 vind ‘n KAMAF vergadering in die Glaskasteel plaas en Wyksraadslede word versoek om voorleggings aan te bied.
- (iii) ‘n Strategiese beplanningssessie vir Raadslede en Direkteure sal vanaf 9 tot 11 November 2016 by The Dunes plaasvind.
- (iv) Die SALGA opleiding vir Raadslede wat op 2 November 2016 sou plaasvind is tot verdere kennisgewing uitgestel.
- (v) Die verkiesing van die KAM Jeugraad vind plaas op 25 Oktober 2016. Die inhuldiging van die Jeugraad sal op 2 November 2016 om 18:30 plaasvind en Raadslede word versoek om teenwoordig te wees.

7.3 **AANWYS VAN AFGEVAARDIGDES**

Geen.

7.4 **DRINGENDE SAKE DEUR DIE SPEAKER VOORGELê**

(i) Die verkiesing van die Wykskomitees is afgehandel en 'n volledige verslag oor die werking, samestelling en naamlyste van Wykskomiteede is uitgebring.

(ii) **Raad in reses:**

BESLUIT 210/2016

Die Raad sal in reses gaan vanaf die 12^{de} Desember 2016 tot 6 Januarie 2017. Raadslede word versoek om tog op kort kennisgewing beskikbaar te wees indien 'n dringende aangeleentheid hanteer moet word gedurende die reses.

8. **VERKLARINGS EN/OF MEDEDELINGS DEUR DIE UITVOERENDE BURGEMEESTER**

8.1 Die Burgemeester meld dat die tweede rondte van gesprekvoering met belangegroepe afgehandel is. Hy het reeds met geestelike leiers vergader en op 15 November 2016 word "sake en toerisme" bespreek en daarna met "Kindersorg en Jeugontwikkeling" op 24 November 2016.

8.2 'n Volledige personeel vergadering sal om 12:00 op Vrydag 28 Oktober 2016 by die Nelson Mandela Saal plaasvind. Raadslede word versoek om teenwoordig te wees.

9. **ITEMS NA DIE RAAD VERWYS VIR OORWEGING**

Bladsy: Agenda

9.1 **MUNISIPALE BESTUURDER / MUNICIPAL MANAGER**

9.1.1 Oudit- en Prestasieoudit Komitee: Rotering van lede **5 - 6**

9.2 **KORPORATIEWE DIENSTE / CORPORATE SERVICES**

9.2.1 Versoek om KAM kantore op sekere dae binne die kersseisoen te sluit **7 - 8**
 9.2.2 Verkoop/Oordrag: Erf 5797, Bredasdorp na Earl of Clarendon Trust **8 - 9**
 9.2.3 Amendment of House Shop By-Law **9 - 10**
 9.2.4 Development of CAM Spatial Development Framework **10 - 15**

Bladsy: Agenda

9.3 **FINANSIËLE DIENSTE / FINANCIAL SERVICES**

9.3.1 Quarterly Section 52 Budget and Performance Report **15 - 16**
 9.3.2 Begroting - 2016/17: Regstelling t.o.v. beskrywing van tarief **16**
 9.3.3 Logistieke- en Finansiële bystand: Struisbaai Touchies **17**
 9.3.4 Oudit Aksieplan - Maandelikse Vordering: Sept 2016 **17 - 18**
 9.3.5 Updated Long-Term Financial Plan Assessment **18 - 19**
 9.3.6 Approval of the re-allocation of long-term debt (ABSA bank) **19 - 20**

10. **DRINGENDE SAKE DEUR DIE MUNISIPALE BESTUURDER**

Geen.

11. **OORWEGING VAN KENNISGEWING VAN MOSIES**

Geen.

12. **OORWEGING VAN KENNISGEWING VAN VRAE**

Geen.

13. **OORWEGING VAN DRINGENDE MOSIES**

Geen.

14. **VERSLAG DEUR MUNISIPALE BESTUURDER OOR DIE UITVOERING VAN RAADSBESLUIE**

Lys van onafgehandelde Raadsbesluite verskyn op **bladsy 22**.

15. **SLUITING**

Die vergadering verdaag om 13:25

9. **ITEMS NA DIE RAAD VERWYS VIR OORWEGING**

9.1 **MUNISIPALE BESTUURDER / MUNICIPAL MANAGER**

9.1.1 **ODUIT- EN PRESTASIEODUIT KOMITEE: ROTERING VAN LEDE**

DOEL VAN VERSLAG

Om die Raad in te lig oor die vordering met die rotering van die huidige Oudit- en Prestasieoudit Komitee lede en om goedkeuring te kry om een lid nou te vervang met 'n nuwe lid wat hiermee voorgestel word.

AGTERGROND

Her-aanstelling van die Komiteelede:

Die kombinerings van die Oudit- en Prestasieoudit Komitee is goedgekeur by wyse van Raadsbesluit 166/2011 op 31 Augustus 2011. Die huidige lede is almal aangestel op 9 September 2011 by wyse van formele aanstellingsbriewe.

In terme van Nasionale Tesourie se MFMA Omsendskrywe 65 van November 2012 moet lede van die Komitee 'n minimum van drie jaar diens doen om kontinuïteit te verseker, met die opsie om vir 'n verdere 3 jaar aangestel te word. Die Raad het die huidige lede vir 'n tweede termyn van 3 jaar aangestel per Raadsbesluit 220/2014 (Augustus 2014).

Al die lede se tweede termyn verstryk dus einde Oktober 2017. Die interne oudit funksie is tans besig met 'n rotasie proses om die lede stelselmatig te vervang om kontinuïteit te verseker.

Advertering om poste op die Komitee te vul (bv. die huidige vakante pos) was onsuksesvol. Daar is derhalwe besluit om eerder gepaste lede te nader ("head hunt") sodat die nodige kwaliteit lede gewerf kan word aangesien daar ook aan die Tesourie se Omsendskrywe 65 voldoen moet word sover dit kennis en kundigheid betref.

Na verskeie navrae het 'n paar geskikte persone hulle belangstelling aangedui. Een persoon oorweeg dit nog en die ander persoon se werkgewer het egter aangedui dat hulle dit nie wil goedkeur nie weens moontlike konflik van belange. 'n Derde persoon, Pieter Strauss, het wél sy bereidwilligheid aangedui en reeds sy CV ingedien. Die huidige lede van die komitee het ook hulle tevredenheid uitgespreek oor die persoon se ervaring en kundigheid en ondersteun sy aansoek. Mnr Strauss besit ook eiendom op Struisbaai.

Mnr Strauss is reeds bekend by die munisipaliteit weens sy betrokkenheid by die opstel van die "HR Strategy" en die munisipaliteit se "Revenue Enhancement Strategy". Hy het ook werk gedoen vir die Ouditeur-Generaal by verskeie munisipaliteite en meeste van sy werk was op munisipaliteite gewees. Hy is dus goed vertrouwd met die werksaamhede van die munisipaliteit, insluitende menslike hulpbronne wat een van die vereistes is van Omsendskrywe 65. Die persoon se CV word aangeheg op **bladsy 29 tot 34** vir maklike verwysing.

Die aanstelling van mnr Strauss, 'n blanke man, sal nie 'n probleem wees nie aangesien een van die huidige lede 'n blanke man is en hy dan eerste geroteer kan word sou die Raad dit so verkies. Alternatief kan die Raad die rotering aan die Komitee oorlaat soos hulle dit goeiddink

In die lig van bostaande word Mnr Strauss aan die Raad voorgestel as 'n lid van die Oudit- en Prestasieouditkomitee om een van die huidige lede te vervang as deel van die komitee se roterings plan.

PERSONEEL IMPLIKASIES

Geen.

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIE

Geen - voldoende begroting is voorsien.

WETLIKE IMPLIKASIE

Voldoening aan die MFMA, 2003 (artikel 166) en Tesourie Omsendskrywe 65.

BESTUURSAANBEVELING

Dat die Raad die aanstelling van mnr Strauss op die munisipaliteit se Oudit- en Prestasieoudit Komitee goedkeur.

BESLUIT 211/2016

Dat die bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.

9.2 KORPORATIEWE DIENSTE / CORPORATE SERVICES

9.2.1 VERSOEK OM KAAP AGULHAS MUNISIPALE KANTORE OP SEKERE DAE BINNE DIE KERSSEISOEN TE SLUIT (BMH)

DOEL VAN VERSLAG

Om oorweging te skenk om Raadskantore op sekere dae binne die Kersseisoen te sluit.

AGTERGROND

Kaap Agulhas Munisipaliteit se kantore was die afgelope aantal jare slegs tot 13:00 oop op 24 en 31 Desember.

'n Versoek word aan die Raad gerig om goedkeuring te verleen dat alle kantore en biblioteke binne Kaap Agulhas Munisipaliteit op die volgende datums (28, 29 en 30 Desember 2016) gesluit sal wees, met die uitsondering van oorde.

President Jacob Zuma declares 27 December 2016 a public holiday

19 Sep 2016

President Jacob Zuma has declared 27 December 2016 a public holiday throughout the Republic of South Africa, in terms of section 2A of the Public Holidays Act.

The President has declared the date as a public holiday following a request by the Federation of Unions of South Africa (FEDUSA). The request by FEDUSA was motivated by the fact this year workers in the country will only have 11 public holidays instead of 12 due to fact that the 25th of December (Christmas Day) falls on a Sunday.

According to section 2(1) of the Public Holidays Act, 1994 "whenever a public holiday falls on a Sunday, the following Monday shall be a public holiday". However, this year the Monday following the 25th of December 2016 is another public holiday, being 26 December 2016, Day of Goodwill. This has resulted in the two public holidays overlapping.

The declaration of the 27th December as a public holiday will ensure that workers are not unduly disadvantaged because of this unusual event and are still entitled to their 12 paid public holidays.

Daar is verskeie betaalpunte wat vir die publiek beskikbaar sal wees waar rekeninge betaal kan word, naamlik:

- Bredasdorp : Checkers, Poskantoor, Spar en Hop In
- Napier : Poskantoor
- Struisbaai : Oord
- L'Agulhas : Oord
- Waenhuiskrans : Oord
- Klipdale : Poskantoor
- Protem : Poskantoor
- Internet en Direkte Bank Inbetalings

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIE

Geen.

BESTUURANBEVELING

- (i) Dat alle kantore van Kaap Agulhas Munisipaliteit vanaf 27 Desember 2016 tot 3 Januarie 2017 gesluit sal wees, uitsluitend Struisbaai kantoor.
- (ii) Dat vooraf kennisgewings by dienspunte geplaas word om die publiek dien-ooreenkomstig in te lig.
- (iii) Dat alle buitendienste soos geskeduleerd sal voortgaan.
- (iv) Dat telefoniese navrae steeds deur die bystandspersoneel hanteer sal word.
- (v) Dat 'n rampbestuursplan beskikbaar sal wees om binne 2 uur te kan rapporteer. Alle Direkteure in samewerking met die Munisipale Bestuurder sal deel uitmaak van die span.

BESLUIT 212/2016

Dat die bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.

9.2.2 **VERKOOP / OORDRAG VAN ERF 5797, BREDASDORP (MNR C J JACOBS) (DKD)****DOEL VAN VERSLAG**

Om kennis te neem van die vordering met die verkoop van erf 5797, Bredasdorp (dit was eers erf 581, Bredasdorp).

AGTERGROND

Die Raad het besluit om erf 581, Bredasdorp per veiling te vervreem. Die veiling het plaasgevind op 22 Oktober 2015. Die eiendom is vervreem vir die bedrag van R1 250 000.

Met die nagaan van die eiendom se grense is agtergekom dat die gebou oor die bestaande erfgrense gebou is. Die Raad het toe deur die proses gegaan om die erfgrense reg te stel en na die regstelling het die nuwe erf, erf 5797 ontstaan. So die verkoop-ooreenkoms moes gewysig word. Ingevolge die MFMA (Art 116(3)) moet daar deur 'n publieke deelname proses gegaan word indien 'n verkoop-ooreenkoms gewysig word.

'n Addendum tot die ooreenkoms is deur die Raad opgestel en vanaf 9 September 2016 geadverteer.

Die addendum het ingesluit :

1. Die uitbreiding van die grootte van die eiendom om die oorskryding van die gebou op die eiendom te akkommodeer.
2. Om oordrag te fasiliteer na mnr Jacobs se genomineerde.
3. okkupasie van die eiendom.

GEEN BESWARE OF KOMMENTAAR is vanaf die publiek ontvang nie en daar kan dus nou voortgegaan word met die oordrag van erf 5797, Bredasdorp aan Earl of Clarendon Trust .

WETLIKE VEREISTES

MFMA - Art 116(3) is nagekom

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIE

Die bedrag soos bepaal in die verkoopvoorwaardes is reeds betaal. Die oordrag van die eiendom is vir die koper se rekening, maar die regstelling van die grense sal deur die Raad uit die bedryfsbegroting van 2016/2017 betaal word.

BESTUURSAANBEVELING

- (i) Dat die raad kennis neem van die proses gevolg ten einde erf 5797, Bredasdorp te vervreem.
- (ii) Dat die Raad kennis neem dat daar voortgegaan word met die oordrag van die eiendom na "Earl of Clarendon Trust".

(Raadslid Jacobs nie teenwoordig tydens bespreking van die aangeleentheid nie.)

BESLUIT 213/2016

Dat die bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.

9.2.3 **AMENDMENT OF THE CAPE AGULHAS HOUSE SHOP BY-LAW (17/R)(ALL WARDS)****PURPOSE OF REPORT**

Council to consider the amendment of the current House Shop By Law (see Annexure A on **page 35 to 46**).

BACKGROUND

On 3 November 2015 the current House Shop By Law was gazetted in the Western Cape Provincial Gazette Extraordinary.

The following amendments are proposed after a workshop was held on 10 May 2016 (see attendance register attached as Annexure B on **page 47 and 48**):

SECTION	CURRENT	PROPOSED AMENDMENTS
5(1)b	The business component of the house shop may not exceed more than 33% of the total floor area of the dwelling;	The extent and location of the business component must be indicated on a scaled plan, and shall not exceed 25 m ² or 33% (excluding toilet and storeroom) of the total floor area of the dwelling-house, whichever is the smaller area;
5(1)e	The approval for the operation of the house shop may not result in less than 80% of the property in the specific street being non-residential by nature;	House shops shall ideally be located further than a 300 meter radius around existing businesses / house shops.
5(1)f	In the case of a house shop being classified as a category two house shop, an application must be made for the rezoning of the relevant premises, but the fact that an application for rezoning must be submitted cannot be viewed as an automatic approval of the relevant rezoning application.	In the case of a house shop <i>not complying to the requirements of a house shop</i> , an application must be made for the rezoning of the relevant premises, but the fact that an application for rezoning must be submitted cannot be viewed as an automatic approval of the relevant rezoning application.
6(2)	The business portion of the house shop may not be extended.	To be removed.
6(3)	All legislation, regulations and safety measures regarding the operation of the house shop and merchandise therein must be adhered to by the owner.	All legislation, regulations, <i>environmental health</i> and safety measures regarding the operation of the house shop and merchandise therein must be adhered to by the owner.

6(10)		No temporary structures, caravans and “zinc” structures are allowed to be used for a house shop.
6(11)		House shops within the informal areas require Council's consent.

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION

- (i) That Council approves the above amendments.
- (ii) That the moratorium on the application for house shops be lifted as soon as the amendments are gazetted.
- (iii) This policy will only be applicable to new applications.

RECOMMENDATION: CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

- (i) That Management's recommendation be accepted.
- (ii) That an audit be done of all the existing house shops in the Cape Agulhas area.

RESOLUTION 214/2016

That the recommendation of the Corporate Services Committee be accepted as resolution of Council.

(Raadsheer Jantjies teken sy teenstem aan om die volgende redes: 1. Hy ondersteun dat alle nuwe aansoeke volgens die nuwe beleid moet plaasvind; 2. Waar heraansoeke ingedien word, versoek hy dat die bestaande beleid toegepas word en nie nuwe beleid nie.)

9.2.4 **DEVELOPMENT OF THE CAPE AGULHAS MUNICIPAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK AS PART OF THE SPLUMA PROCESS (15/5/R - MTRP) (ALL WARDS)**

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To inform Council of the development of the Cape Agulhas Spatial Development Framework (CAMSDF) to make it compliant with SPLUMA.

BACKGROUND

The Cape Agulhas Municipality (CAM) appointed a service provider to develop the Cape Agulhas Spatial Development Framework (CAMSDF) in order to make it compliant with SPLUMA.

The Department of Human Settlements (DHS) in partnership with the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning (DEA&DP) embarked on a BESP in 2008. Through the BESP, DHS and DEA&DP provided support to targeted municipalities in revising their Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs) and Human Settlement Plans (HSPs) in a concurrent and integrated manner. Cape Agulhas Municipality formed part of the BESP Round 2 Phase 1. This phase included appointing consultants to undertake a Gap Analysis of the existing documents including, but not limited to, the SDF and HSP. The BESP Round 2 Phase 2 included appointing consultants to fill the gaps identified in Phase 1 and to essentially develop a Cape Agulhas SDF and HSP in an integrated manner.

Given the status assigned to Cape Agulhas Municipality in the Western Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF) and Growth Potential Study of towns (GPS), it is important that an SDF which meets the requirements of the MSA as well as the Western Cape Land Use Planning Act, 2014 (Act 3 of 2014) (LUPA) and the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, 2013 (Act 16 of 2013) (SPLUMA) it is a matter of priority to compile a new Spatial Development Framework Plan.

In terms of Section 3 (7) of LUPA, the Provincial Minister must support Municipalities to perform the land use planning functions through appropriate measures, including providing technical assistance, training and support to the Municipality.

A Project Management Team (PMT) was already established between the Municipality, the Service Provider, the Director of Corporate Services, and any other stakeholders that these parties deem relevant.

- a) The PMT monitors the progress of the project and actively participate in it. At the PMT issues are discussed, proposals debated and direction of the project determined;
- b) The PMT meets on a monthly basis (or as otherwise mutually agreed) to discuss administrative matters to ensure effective service delivery and adherence to contractually agreed to project timelines and deliverables;
- c) The PMT is responsible for identifying representatives to serve on the Intergovernmental Steering Committee (ISC);
- d) The service provider is responsible for presenting detailed monthly project progress reports at PMT meetings. If no PMT is held, the service provider will still be required to submit the monthly project progress report to the Planner; and
- e) The Manager: Town and Regional Planner of Cape Agulhas Municipality chairs and facilitate these meetings.

An Integrated Steering Committee (ISC), comprising from relevant components of Cape Agulhas Municipality, Directorates of WCG Departments, planning representatives from adjacent Municipalities, representatives from the Overberg District Municipality and other relevant parties, supports the process (Two ISC meetings already took place).

- a) The ISC enhances programs and project co-ordination between WCG, municipalities and other stakeholders;
- b) The ISC meet on a quarterly basis (or as otherwise mutually agreed to) to discuss policy issues and the alignment of planning, budgeting and implementation;
- c) The service provider is responsible for presenting progress and different aspects of the CAMSDF at the ISC meetings; and
- d) The nominated planner of Cape Agulhas Municipality chairs and facilitates these meetings.

REQUIREMENTS IN TERMS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT: MUNICIPAL PLANNING AND PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS, 2001

Section 3(4) of the above Regulation requires the following:

- a) A spatial development framework reflected in a municipality's integrated development plan must give effect to the principles contained in Chapter 1 of the Development Facilitation Act, 1995 (Act No. 67 of 1995);
- b) set out objectives that reflect the desired spatial form of the municipality;
- c) contain strategies and policies regarding the manner in which to achieve the objectives referred to in paragraph (b), which strategies and policies must -
 - (i) indicate desired patterns of land use within the municipality;
 - (ii) address the spatial reconstruction of the municipality; and
 - (iii) provide strategic guidance in respect of the location and nature of development within the municipality;

- d) set out basic guidelines for a land use management system in the municipality;
- e) set out a capital investment framework for the municipality's development programs;
- f) contain a strategic assessment of the environmental impact of the spatial development framework;'
- g) identify programs and projects for the development of land within the municipality;
- h) be aligned with the spatial development frameworks reflected in the integrated development plans of neighbouring municipalities; and
- i) provide a visual representation of the desired spatial form of the municipality, which representation -
 - (i) must indicate where public and private land development and infrastructure investment should take place;
 - (ii) must indicate desired or undesired utilisation of space in a particular area;
 - (iii) may delineate the urban edge;
 - (iv) must identify areas where strategic intervention is required; and
 - (v) must indicate areas where priority spending is required.

INTEGRATING THE MUNICIPAL SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK WITH THE INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Circular: DEA&DP 0015/2016 provided by the Head of Department: Environmental Affairs and Development Planning guides the Municipalities to align the IDP and the SDF process:

1. Purpose

The purpose of this circular is to again alert all municipalities within the Western Cape to the fact that a Municipal Spatial Development Framework (MSDF) is a core component of an Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and as a result of this, needs to be integrated into the IDP development and amendment process, as dictated by a municipality's IDP process plan.

2. The MSDF as Core Component of the IDP

*In the Department's Circular EADP: 0011/2016, dated 25 July 2016, distributed to all municipalities, the relationship between the MSDF and IDP was set out. That Circular emphasizes that the legislation explicitly makes the MSDF part of the IDP and most importantly that the legislation does not allow for the MSDF to be drafted, adopted or amended in isolation or separately from the IDP. A MSDF must therefore be drafted, adopted or amended as part of the municipal IDP drafting, adoption and amendment process. A copy of the previous Circular is attached hereto for ease of reference as **Annexure A**.*

*As all the municipalities in the country are embarking on the next generation IDP's over the next few months, the Department herewith provides some guidance on the integration of the MSDF's into the municipal IDP process. Subsequent to the adoption of the current IDPs, several changes have been made to the laws governing the spatial planning and land use management sector which have significant implications on the way MSDF's in particular have to be dealt with in the IDP process. In the Western Cape, three new planning laws have been introduced which specifically regulate SDF's and which will have to be taken into consideration when municipalities introduce their SDF's into the IDP process (refer to **Annexure A** for a reference to the relevant laws).*

Municipalities are therefore encouraged to ensure that whilst following the IDP process in terms of the Municipal Systems Act, to also comply with the other legal requirements applicable to SDF's.

3. Transitional Measures for integrating SDF's into the IDP's

Considering the above-mentioned legislation, the ideal scenario would have been if all municipalities were in a position to introduce a new or reviewed MSDF simultaneously with the next generation IDP to ensure that the IDP and MSDF are fully synchronised for the five year term of the IDP.

In reality, only a small number of municipalities in the Western Cape are ready at this stage to adopt a new or revised MSDF as part of their IDP process. The other municipalities have approved MSDF's which were approved at various stages during the previous IDP term. The current situation therefore leaves municipalities with three main options to comply with the legal requirements at the start of the new generation IDP's.

(a) Option 1: Fully Synchronised SDF's

The few municipalities that are in a position to introduce their new or reviewed SDF's into the procedure of the IDP adoption process in the months following the August 2016 election are in the fortunate position that their SDF and IDP five-year terms will be synchronised going forward.

*Municipalities must ensure that whilst following the MSA procedure for the adoption of the IDP, they should also comply with the three new planning laws in the Western Cape as outlined in **Annexure A** (both procedurally and in terms of content requirements).*

(b) Option 2: Introducing Existing SDF's without any amendments

The majority of the municipalities in the Western Cape have MSDF's which were approved during the previous five years and which will only be revised after the next generation IDP's have been adopted. For municipalities in this situation, we recommend that the existing SDF as approved previously, be introduced into the IDP process in its current format for adoption along with the IDP. In following this approach, municipalities should take cognisance of the following:

- (i) Municipalities must ensure that whilst following the MSA procedure for the adoption of the IDP, they should also comply with the three new planning laws in the Western Cape as outlined in **Annexure A** (both procedurally and in terms of content requirements).*
- (ii) Municipalities should consider the impacts of Section 22 of SPLUMA which determines that a Municipal Planning Tribunal may not take planning decisions which are inconsistent with the provisions of the adopted MSDF's.*
- (iii) Should MSDF's be outdated in some respects or contain elements which may cause unintended consequences as a result of the effects of SPLUMA, municipalities should consider amending their SDF's (refer to Option 3).*

(c) Option 3: Submitting Amended or only Parts of Existing SDF's

If a municipality is not in a position to submit a completely revised or new MSDF to coincide with the new generation IDP, as outlined in Option 1, nor is the municipality in a position to adopt the existing MSDF in full as outlined in Option 2, the municipality may have to consider amending the existing MSDF in the process of adopting the new generation IDP. Municipalities in this position may, for example elect to only submit strategic thrusts of their existing MSDF's and omit detailed provisions which may be outdated. In following this Option 3 approach, municipalities should take cognisance of the following:

- (i) Municipalities must ensure that whilst following the MSA procedure for the adoption of the IDP, they should also comply with the three new planning laws in the Western Cape as outlined in **Annexure A**, specifically the provisions regulating amendments to existing SDF's (both procedural and content requirements).*
- (ii) The Department is fully aware that municipalities are going to struggle to meet all the procedural and specifically the content requirements of all the relevant laws governing MSDF's and IDP's. It is, therefore, imperative that municipalities indicate in the IDP process plans when the shortcomings in this transitional phase will be addressed and the processes which will be followed also need to be highlighted.*

4. SDF Reviews Subsequent to the Adoption of the New Generation IDP's

As noted above, the majority of municipalities in the Western Cape have planned to revise their MSDF's only after the new generation IDP's have been adopted. This means that the IDP will have to be amended at that stage to incorporate a new or revised MSDF. As indicated above, municipalities must at this stage anticipate when this subsequent MSDF review and IDP amendment will be done and include these plans in the process plans of the IDP.

Municipalities should also consider if the review of the MSDF and IDP amendment process will coincide with the annual IDP review process, which is legislated and coordinated with other spheres of government or whether an ad hoc amendment process will be followed. Generally MSDF's require a longer time period to be reviewed or compiled afresh, than the period available for an IDP adoption process, and municipalities will have to consider very carefully whether to align the two processes.

As with the IDP process that will be followed to adopt the next generation IDP's in the next few months, the process to be followed when amending the IDP to introduce a revised MSDF includes many procedural and content requirements that must also be carefully considered.

5. Ad Hoc Amendments

Whilst the legislation makes provision for an annual review process of the IDP / MSDF which in certain circumstances may lead to amendments to the IDP / MSDF, municipalities should also consider how to deal with ad hoc amendments. These ad hoc amendments may arise as a result of changed circumstances affecting the MSDF or as a result of a development application which the existing MSDF does not cater for and in which there are no site specific circumstances to justify a deviation from the MSDF.

This is due to the effect of Section 22 of SPLUMA, which states that the Municipal Planning Tribunal may not approve such an application, which may in turn result in a request being made to the Council, from a developer or even the municipality itself, to process an IDP / MSDF amendment prior to submitting a development application.

It is imperative to indicate at this stage in the IDP process plans how your municipality intends dealing with such requests for ad hoc amendments procedurally in future. As an example, some municipalities have already indicated that they would not entertain requests for ad hoc amendments outside of the annual IDP review process. Irrespective of the decision or approach to be followed by a municipality, it is important that this be incorporated into the IDP process plans.

6. WCG Assistance

The Department recognises that the foregoing guidance is very compliance orientated – however, the underlying aim is still to achieve greater levels of integration in the planning between the various spheres of government. The WCG through the Department of Environmental Affairs and Development Planning: Directorate Spatial Planning is supporting municipalities to achieve greater levels of integration through various initiatives, such as the following:

- (i) Municipal support with MSDF's – financial assistance where possible, as well as capacity and advisory services. In this regard see attached hereto a guideline compiled by the Department in a simplified table format, setting out the process to amend an SDF in terms of the legislation mentioned above.*
- (ii) Integrated Spatial and Infrastructure Planning Services – through this programme the Department is liaising with other Provincial Departments to align and integrate other sector plans into the MSDF's (Human Settlement Plans, Infrastructure and Growth Plans, Integrated Transportation Plans).*

- (iii) *Inter-Departmental alignment of Provincial Planning and Budgeting – mainly aimed at the Provincial budgeting process. The Department is striving to ensure spatial alignment of Provincial spending with the PSDF as well as MSDF's.*
- (iv) *The Department is also exploring various avenues available in current legislation to develop more enabling MSDF's that incentivise developments in desirable locations. The use of tools available in other legislation such as National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA) and the Subdivision of Agricultural Land Act, 1970 in combination with MSDF's are being investigated and will be shared with municipalities.*

Municipalities are therefore encouraged to make contact with the Department's Directorate: Spatial Planning for assistance during this period, for any advice or guidance and also to ensure optimal integration of the MSDF's with the IDP process.

ANNEXURES

1. Compliance with the three new planning laws in the Western Cape as outlined in Annexure A on **page 49 - 52**;
2. The Inception Report is attached as Annexure B on **page 53 - 69**;
3. The aligned IDP / SDF Process Plan as approved by Council is attached as Annexure C on **page 70 - 71** ; and
4. The minutes of the two Integrated Steering Committee Meetings are attached as Annexure D on **page 72 - 77**.

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that:

Seeing Council approved the budget for the development of the Spatial Development Framework on 26 May 2015 and the fact that the Project Steering Committee had a meeting with the Executive Mayoral Committee; Council take note of the processes and relevant legislation applicable to the development of the new Spatial Development Framework Plan aligned with the IDP process.

RESOLUTION 215/2016

That the management recommendation be accepted as resolution of Council.

9.3 FINANSIËLE DIENSTE / FINANCIAL SERVICES

9.3.1 QUARTERLY SECTION 52 BUDGET AND PERFORMANCE REPORT: 30 SEPTEMBER 2016

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To comply with section 52 and section 71 of the MFMA and the requirements in Government Gazette No 32141 of 17 April 2009 (Municipal Budgeting and Reporting Requirements).

BACKGROUND

See report attached on **page 78 - 141**.

MANGEMENT RECOMMENDATION

- (i) The content of the report and supporting documentation for the period ending 30 September 2016 is noted.
- (ii) It be noted that the directors ensure that the budget is implemented in accordance with the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan projections and in accordance with the approved budget.
- (iii) It be noted that any material variances will receive remedial or corrective steps.

RESOLUTION 216/2016

That the management recommendation be accepted as resolution of Council.

9.3.2 **BEGROTING - 2016/2017: REGSTELLING TEN OPSIGTE VAN BESKRYWING VAN TARIEF****DOEL VAN VERSLAG**

Om die elektrisiteitstarief - "Tarief B: Kleinkragverbruikers" Item 4.9.2 (ii) te wysig ten einde 'n oorsig fout reg te stel.

AGTERGROND

In die goedgekeurde begroting van 2016/2017 lees die tarief vir Item 4.9.2 (ii) as volg:

Voorafbetaalde meter	1.60160	0.22422	1.82582
Slegs tot <u>maksimum</u> aanvraag van 20 Amp - Enkelfase			

Hierdie tarief is egter nie net van toepassing op voorafbetaalde meters nie, maar wel op beide voorafbetaalde- sowel as konvensionele meters. Weens 'n oorsig fout is daar per abuis nagelaat om die konvensionele meters in te sluit.

Die tarief moet egter lees:

Voorafbetaalde- / Konvensionele meter	1.60160	0.22422	1.82582
Slegs tot <u>maksimum</u> aanvraag van 20 Amp - Enkelfase			

Let daarop dat die tarief nie verander het nie, maar dat daar net 'n wysiging is by die beskrywing van die tarief.

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIES

Geen.

BESTUURSAANBEVELING

Dat goedkeuring verleen word dat die beskrywing van die tarief gewysig word om soos volg te lees en dat die tarief van toepassing is soos vanaf 1 Julie 2016:

Voorafbetaalde- / Konvensionele meter	1.60160	0.22422	1.82582
Slegs tot <u>maksimum</u> aanvraag van 20 Amp - Enkelfase			

BESLUIT 217/2016

Dat die bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.

9.3.3 **AANSOEK OM LOGISTIEKE- EN FINANSIËLE BYSTAND - STRUISBAAI TOUCHIES: 23 DESEMBER 2016 - IN 2 TOUCH SPORTS MARKETING CC**

DOEL VAN VERSLAG

Om oorweging te skenk vir die aanbied van die Struisbaai Raakrugby toernooi in vennootskap met die In 2 Touch Sport Marketing CC.

AGTERGROND

'n Versoek en finansiële state, soos aangeheg op **bladsy 142 tot 150** is vanaf die organiseerders van die Struisbaai Raakrugby Toernooi ontvang om die toernooi in vennootskap met die In 2 Touch Sport Marketing CC op 23 Desember 2016 aan te bied.

Die toernooi word reeds in vennootskap met hierdie instansie aangebied sedert 2003 en vorm deel van die owerheid se somer vakansieprogram.

Die organiseerders versoek Kaap Agulhas Munisipaliteit se betrokkenheid ten opsigte van die volgende:

1. Bevestiging dat die owerheid as gasheer van die Struisbaai Raakrugby Toernooi, as deel van die oorhoofse Somer In 2 Touch raakrugby program sal aanbied.
2. Kaap Agulhas Munisipaliteit se volgehoue ondersteuning met die aanbied van die Touchies.
3. Die verlening van logistieke- en infrastruktuur ondersteuning (vullisverwydering, hulp met reël van Provinsiale ambulans vir die dag).
4. Die naam en kontakbesonderhede van 'n skakelpersoon.
5. Prysgeeld ter waarde van R30 000.

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIE

R30 000 (prysgeld) - begroot in posnommer 1 2010 1 2000 05 00

BESTUURANBEVELING

Vir oorweging deur die Raad.

BESLUIT 218/2016

- (i) Dat die Raad R30 000,00 bewillig vir die Struisbaai Touchies.
- (ii) Dat die Raad die nodige logistieke- en infrastruktuur ondersteuning sal bied.

9.3.4 **UDIT AKSIEPLAN 2014/15 (OPCAR): MAANDELIKSE VORDERING - SEPTEMBER 2016**

DOEL VAN VERSLAG

Oorweging van die vordering met die goedgekeurde 2014/15 Oudit Bevindinge Aksieplan (OPCAR) vir die maand September 2016.

AGTERGROND

Na aanleiding van die Ouditeur-Generaal se oudit van die 2014/15 finansiële jaar is daar sekere leemtes uitgewys wat aangespreek moet word. Hierdie bevindinge is in die Oudit Bevindinge Aksieplan opgeneem en bepaalde regstellende stappe is bepaal om die bevindinge aan te spreek (sien **bladsy 151 tot 164**).

Die vordering word ook aan die Oudit- en Prestasieoudit Komitee voorgelê en word ook op 'n gereelde grondslag met die Ouditeur-Generaal bespreek. Die vordering met die plan word verder op 'n kwartaallikse grondslag aan die Wes-Kaapse Provinsiale Tesourie voorgelê.

Alle items in die plan is nou afgehandel en word aan die Raad voorgelê vir kennisname. Daar sal derhalwe ook geen verdere maandelikse rapportering wees ten opsigte van hierdie betrokke ouditbevindinge nie.

PERSONEEL IMPLIKASIES

Geen.

FINANSIËLE IMPLIKASIES

Geen.

WETLIKE IMPLIKASIES

Geen.

BESTUURSAANBEVELING

Dat die Raad die 2014/2015 Oudit Aksieplan as afgehandel beskou en dat geen verdere terugvoering oor hierdie plan nodig is nie.

BESLUIT 219/2016

Dat die bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.

9.3.5 **UPDATED LONG-TERM FINANCIAL PLAN ASSESSMENT BASED ON THE 2015/16 PRE-AUDITED FINANCIAL RESULTS (DFS)**

PURPOSE OF REPORT

For council to take note of the updated long term financial plan assessment done by INCA Portfolio Managers in respect of the 2015/16 pre-audited financial statement results.

BACKGROUND

The long-term financial plan (LTFP) has been completed by INCA Portfolio Managers during May 2015 and have to be updated on an annual basis to reflect any progress made in terms of the approved long term financial plan strategy adopted by council during December 2015.

The long term financial plan assessment completed only reflects on the period 1 July 2015 till 30 June 2016 whilst most of the targets reflected in the LTFP strategy focuses on the current financial year to be achieved. Despite the variance in timeframe it is important to take note of the following key findings indicated in the updated report:

- The liquidity ratio of Current Assets to Current Liabilities remained strong and constituted a ratio of 2.01:1 compared to 1.78:1 in the previous year;
- Employee related costs is the highest expenditure item representing at 41% for the FYE 2016 and which reflects above the ratio norm 35% to 40%;
- Provision for impairment of debtors increased by R3.13 million (37%) compared to the previous year mainly due to the increase traffic fines;

- Unencumbered Available Cash continues to decline since 2008 and does not cover all statutory requirements including one month's working capital; and
- The external gearing ratio remained low at 2%, but given the current financial situation the potential to borrow remains limited.

It is suggested to review and updated the long term financial plan strategy aligned with the key findings in the report for strategic decision making / policy formulation during the 2017/18 budget preparation process.

A copy of the updated long term financial plan is provided as annexure on **page 165** for Council's consideration.

LEGAL IMPLICATION

Non-compliance to prescribed legislation and external loan conditions.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATION

Proposals to be review for updating the LTFP strategy and incorporation as part of the 2017/18 MTREF budget consideration aligned with the updated targets for implementation subject to availability of funding. The Municipal Manager, in consultation with the Director: Finance, recommends as follows:

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION

- (i) Council takes note of the updated long term financial plan submitted by INCA Portfolio Managers as provided as annexure on **page 165**).
- (ii) Council resolves that the Chief Financial Officer reviews and update the LTFP strategy accordingly if so required.

RESOLUTION 220/2016

- (i) That the management recommendation be accepted as resolution of Council.
- (ii) That the long term financial plan be included at the strategic planning session.

9.3.6 **APPROVAL OF THE RE-ALLOCATION OF LONG-TERM DEBT (ABSA BANK) - 2016/2017**

PURPOSE OF REPORT

For Council to consider the re-allocation of long term debt in respect of the Upgrade of Ablution Facilities - Old Nostra to the total amount of R3,6 million over a three year period.

BACKGROUND

The Council at a meeting held on 8 December 2015 (Item 300/2015) approved long-term debt to the total amount of R3,6 million for the Upgrade of Ablution Facility - Old Nostra over a three period.

An amount of R1,2 million was budgeted during the 2015/16 financial year as phase 1 for the upgrade and construction of the mention abluion facility whilst the remainder amount of R2,4 million be utilized in terms of the approved building plans for phase 2 & 3 of the project. During the 2016/17 budget discussion it was resolved not to continue with phase 2 & 3 of the project but rather to consider other funding opportunities from private investors in future.

Based on the above information and after discussion with ABSA Bank relating to the matter, it was decided to re-allocate the remainder portion to the amount of R2,4 million towards the followings projects as identified in the 2016/17 budget for other infrastructure related projects:

Project Description	Amount
Informal Trading Area - Bredasdorp	R450,000
Ablution facility - Struisbaai	R950,000
Cloakroom at Nelson Mandela Hall	R500,000
Borehole Suiderstrand	R500,000
Total Amount:	R2,400,000

LEGAL IMPLICATION

Not applicable.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATION

The total borrowing cost as stipulated in the Council's resolution - Item 300/2015 dated 8 December 2015 remains unchanged except for the current interest rate applicable.

The Municipal Manager, in consultation with the Director: Finance, recommends as follows:

MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATION

- (i) Council considers approval to re-allocate long term debt to the amount of R2,4 million from the Upgrade of Ablution Facility towards the following infrastructure related projects identified:

Project Description	Amount
Informal Trading Area - Bredasdorp	R450,000
Ablution facility - Struisbaai	R950,000
Cloakroom at Nelson Mandela Hall	R500,000
Borehole Suiderstrand	R500,000
Total Amount:	R2,400,000

- (ii) Subject to the approval of item (i), the municipality immediately informs ABSA Bank in writing about the re-allocation of long term debt towards the abovementioned projects.

RESOLUTION 221/2016

That the management recommendation be accepted as resolution of Council.

14. **ONAFGEHANDELDE RAADSBESLUIE**

Besluit Nr	Onderwerp	Verkorte Besluit	Vordering	Verantwoordelike persoon
70/2015	Market Analysis: Retirement Village, Bredasdorp	Ontwikkelingsvoorstelle vir die gedeelte weer gevra word.	Konsep na Munisipale Bestuurder en R Sefoor.	BSSB
74/2016	Hersiening van Huiswinkelbeleid	Dat die bespreking van die beleid tydens 'n werkwinkel voor die volgende komitee vergaderings sal plaasvind.	Sien agenda item 9.2.3.	BSSB
76/2016	Vervreemding (koop): Erf 3344, Bredasdorp	Dat genoemde erf op publieke tender geplaas word.	Advertensie was geplaas - Tenderaar het erf 3139 gekies nadat hy vir beide getender het. Die Raad moet nou besluit wat van erf 3344 gaan word en of dit weer op tender geplaas moet word.	LDC
90/2016	Ouditeur-Generaal navraag insake erwe 563 en 937, Napier	(i) Dat Erf 563, Napier so spoedig as moontlik deur die raad se oordragprokureurs aan KAM oorgedra word. (ii) Dat, sodra Erf 563, Napier in KAM se naam registreer is, dit per openbare tender vervreemd word. (iii) Dat alle uitstaande skuld ten bedrae van R35 256,83 op rekening 200 000 011 073 in die naam van N en S M Noor afgeskryf word. (iv) Dat Erf 937, Napier se uitstaande gelde so spoedig as moontlik deur die raad se Masakane proses gevorder word (binne 90 dae). (v) Indien alle uitstaande fooie nie binne 90 dae gevorder is nie, erf 937 per openbare tender vervreemd sal word om deel van die koste te delg. (vi) Dat die verskil in uitstaande fooie (balans min verkoopprys) afgeskryf word.	In proses van afhandeling.	DFD
197/2016	Proposed development: Remainder of erf 1148, Bredasdorp (Retirement Village)	(i) Council consider the new valuations. (ii) Applicant be informed that a formal Town Planning application be submitted to Council. (iii) Environmental assessment be for the applicant's account. (iv) Portion of Erf 1148, Bredasdorp is not required for the provision of the minimum level of basic services. (v) That Council grants in-principle approval for the transfer of a portion of Erf 1148, Bredasdorp. (vi) Council determine a reserve price of R1 540 000,00 (ex. VAT) (vii) All legal requirements are met.		BSSB
198/2016	Council's further directions: Rezoning, Subdivision, Consolidation and letting of property in respect of the Vishuis Heritage Centre in Kassiesbaai, Arniston	Dat, na die vergadering van die Vissersunie met KAM se regsverteenwoordigers, die item weer aan die Raad voorgelê word.		BSSB

BESTUURSAANBEVELING

Dat die Raad kennis neem van die onafgehandelde Raadsbesluite.

BESLUIT 222/2016

- (i) Dat die Bestuursaanbeveling as besluit van die Raad aanvaar word.
- (ii) Dat kennis geneem word dat die volgende besluite afgehandel is: 70/2015 en 74/2016.
- (iii) Besluit 197/2016: Die Munisipale Bestuurder meld dat die proses aan die gang is en dat die advertensie eerskomende naweek geplaas sal word.
- (iv) Besluit 198/2016: Die Munisipale Bestuurder sal 'n volledige verslag oor die aangeleentheid gedurende Desember 2016 se Raadsvergadering voorlê.
- (v) Dat erf 599, Waenhuiskrans se vordering met mediasie ook maandeliks aan die Raad gerapporteer word.

Hierna gaan die Raad In Komitee om sake van vertroulike aard te bespreek.

BEKRAGTIG op hierdie

dag van

2016

SPEAKER

DATUM: